

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/992,554	11/06/2001		Robert Muir	10559-683002 / P12909 523		5235	
28554	7590	06/23/2005			EXAMINER		
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP 685 MARKET STREET, SUITE 540 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105					ROBBINS, JANET L		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2857		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Je Je				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/992,554	MUIR, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet Robbins	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a noisy within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	August 2002.	•				
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-14,16 and 17 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) 4,10 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ewn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2002 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objorted or b) ☒ objorted in abeyant strength is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 34 D2. The description refers to this part as DZ 34 (pg 3, ln 23; pg 5, ln 9).
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 62.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 7, the numbers 324 and 326 should be switched to be consistent with the description (pg 11, ln 4, 7). Also, in Figure 1, item 12 should read "PROCESS" instead of "PROGRESS". Within item 12, elements 53 and 55 should be depicted in a manner which is consistent with elements 48, 50, and 52 by adding a descriptor in the black box.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 6, 7, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morrison (US Patent No. 5,992,553).

With respect to claims 1, 7, and 12, Morrison teaches a method for discovering a power level in a diode discovery circuit (col 1, ln 23-24; col 3, ln 24-25, ln 27-33; col 5, ln 54-57) comprising:

transmitting a pulse signal from a diode discovery device on a first line (Morrison discloses a controller in column 3, lines 28-31 and column 4, lines 7-9 of his patent.

Applicant defines the "diode discovery device" as a controller on page 2, In 20-21 of his specification, therefore the controller as disclosed by Morrison meets the limitations of the "diode discovery device" of the application.) (Morrison: Fig. 5; col 3, 54-56; col 7, In 6-7);

receiving the pulse signal in the diode discovery device on a second line (Fig. 5; col 3, ln 56-57; col 6, ln 37-38; col 7, ln 12-13);

measuring a time to charge a capacitor in response to applying power to determine the power level (col 6, ln 59-65); and

applying power in response to comparing the transmitted pulse signal to the received pulse signal and measuring the time (col 3, In 28-33, In 62-64; col 4, In 29-30; col 7, In 59-64).

a voltage source connected to the controller (col 5, ln 55-58); and a power converter linked to the diode detection circuit (col 3, ln 28-33).

With respect to claim 6, Morrison teaches repeating the transmitting and receiving (col 5, In 52-55; col 6, In 22-23; col 11, In 17-20).

With respect to claim 17, Morrison teaches means for repeating the pulse signal (col 3, ln 51-54; col 6, ln 62-65; col 7, ln 10-13, ln 15-17, ln 55-58; col 11, ln 17-20).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison (US Patent 5,992,553) in view of Geen et al. (US Patent 4,839,650).

  Morrison teaches all the limitations of parent claim 1 as described above, but does not

teach the pulse signal including a pseudo random generated 11-bit word. Geen et al. teaches a pseudo random binary sequence in the form of an 11-bit shift register (col 3, ln 40-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Morrison to include the pseudo random word of Geen et al. because the pseudo random word reduces the quantization error for data being sent (Geen et al.: abstract, ln 17-18).

- 8. Claims 3, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison (US Patent 5,992,553), in view of Geen et al. (US Patent 4,839,650), and further in view Rauch (US Patent 3,774,206). Morrison and Geen et al. teach all the limitations of parent claim 2 as set forth above, but they do not teach the pseudo random generated word generated by a recursive linear function. Rauch teaches producing a pseudo random signal by a linear maximal length shift register utilizing the linear recursive sequence (col 1, ln 33-34, ln 52-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Morrison and Geen et al. to include the recursive linear function of Rauch because it is easy to regenerate this type of signal (Rauch: col 2, ln 35-37).
- 9. Claims 5, 11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison (US Patent 5,992,553), in view of Geen et al. (US Patent 4,839,650), and further in view Barker et al. (US PG Pub US2004/0170428A1). Morrison and Geen et al. teach all the limitations of parent claim 2 as described above, but they do not teach the pseudo random generated word seeded by a port number. Barker et al. teaches seeding a pseudo random number with the port number (paragraph 0016, 0034). It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Morrison and Geen et al. to include the port number as done by Barker et al. because adding the port number makes the signal unique to each transmitter (paragraph 0014, 0033).

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4, 10, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Walker et al. (US PG Pub US2003/0014658A1) describes a system and method of verifying system attributes which includes port numbers seeding a pseudo random number generator.

Armstrong, II et al. (US Patent 6,377,028) describes a system for charging monitoring batteries for a microprocessor based method.

Zur (US Patent 6,618,673B2) describes optimization of irrigation cycles in which a capacitor charge time is measured.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Robbins whose telephone number is 571-272-8584. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

JLR June 17, 2005

